Sound Law and Analogy in the Development of Luwic Vocalism

Ilya Yakubovich (University of Marburg)

The Luwic languages are understood here as a sub-family of the Anatolian branch of Indo-European, which comprises Luwian dialects, Lycian A and Lycian B (Milyan). Although its genetic unity is acknowledged since the pioneering works of Laroche (1958, 1960) and Morpurgo-Davies (1982/1983), little has been done to formalize the Proto-Luwic reconstruction, as opposed to the reconstruction at the Proto-Anatolian or Proto-Indo-European level. This problem can be illustrated by Melchert 1992, an influential paper that strives to derive the Lycian A vocalism directly from the reconstructed Indo-European vocalic inventory. A likely reason for this state of affairs is the imperfect rendering of Luwian phonology by the cuneiform and hieroglyphic writing systems.

A new discovery that facilitates the interpretation of the Proto-Luwic vowel system comes from the study of Luwian hieroglyphic texts. I submit that the distribution between the vocalic graphemes $\langle a \rangle$ and $\langle a \rangle$ in this corpus matches that between the Lycian graphemes $\langle e \rangle / \langle \tilde{e} \rangle$ and $\langle a \rangle / \langle \tilde{a} \rangle$. This correspondence concerns the hieroglyphic texts dated before ca. 850 BCE, after which the Luwian vowels began to merge (Melchert 2010, Burgin 2016). The reason why we cannot trace the same correspondence in other positions is the syllabic structure of the Anatolian hieroglyphic script, yet there is enough evidence to believe that the Luwian phonological system also featured the relevant contrast word-internally. It turns out that the Lycian palatal umlaut finds its counterpart in Luwian: for example, the Hieroglyphic Luwian contrast between a-mi-sa /æmmi:s/ 'my (nom.sg.c)' and \acute{a} -ma /amma/ 'my (nom-acc.pl.n)' mirrors the Lycian A contrast between $\it emi$ 'my (nom.sg.c)' and $\it ama$ 'my (nom-acc.pl.n)'.

The combined pool of the Lycian and Luwian forms displaying the contrastive phonemes is conducive to reaching their simple historical interpretation. In a nutshell, Lyc. <e>/< \tilde{e} > and Luw. <a> continue Proto-Anatolian short non-high vowels, whereas Lyc. <a>/< \tilde{a} > and Luw. <a> continue Proto-Anatolian * \bar{a} and * \bar{o} , and * \bar{x} . While the same conclusion had already been reached in a nutshell in Starke 1997–1999 with regard to Lycian A, the lack of the supportive Luwian evidence prevented this idea from gaining recognition. In addition, Lyc. <a>/< \tilde{a} > and Luw. <a> may secondarily emerge by umlaut (see above) or next to a Proto-Luwic "laryngeal" (uvular) fricative. The last change in Proto-Luwic represents an iteration of the Proto-Indo-European "laryngeal colouring" next to * h_2 , for its phonologically natural character see Sylak-Glassman 2014: 8.

At the same time, the proposed phonological reconstruction must be sensitive to the secondary differences between Luwian and Lycian, which arose through analogical levelling. For example, Luw. \acute{a} -ma-za 'my (nom-.acc.sg.n.)' features anticipatory backing, while the matching Lycian A forms do not (see, e.g., nom.-acc.sg.n. $hrzz\tilde{e}$ derived from hrzze/i- 'upper'). This discrepancy is unlikely to have anything to do with the Late Luwian extension -sa/-za, which is usually reconstructed as the possessive clitic *-sod (Jasanoff 2010) and must accordingly have featured a front vowel in Luwian. It stands to reason that the nominative-accusative neuter plural forms in /-a/ exercised influence on their singular counterparts following their fusion with the possessive clitics, which led to analogical levelling.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Burgin, James. 2016. "Graphical Origins of 'Initial-A-Final' in Hieroglyphic Luwian," *Studi Micenei ed Egeo-Anatolici* (NS) 2: 7–32.
- Jasanoff, Jay. 2010. "The Luvian "case" in -ša/-za," Ex Anatolia Lux: Anatolian and Indo-European studies in Honor of H. Craig Melchert, edited by Ronal Kim et al. (eds.). Ann Arbor: Beech Stave Press, pp. 167–179.
- Laroche, Emmanuel. 1958. "Comparaison du louvite et du lycien," *Bulletin de la Societé Linguistique* 53: 159–197.
- Laroche, Emmanuel. 1960. "Comparaison du louvite et du lycien" (II), Bulletin de la Societé de Linguistique de Paris 55: 155-185.
- Melchert, H. Craig. 1992. "Relative Chronology and Anatolian: The Vowel System," Rekonstruction und relative Chronologie: Akten der VIII. Fachtagung der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft. Robert Beekes et al. (eds). Innsbruck: Institut für Sprachwissenschaft der Universität Innsbruck. Pp. 41-53.
- Melchert, H. Craig. 2010. "Spelling of Initial /A-/ in Hieroglyphic Luwian," *Ipamati kistamati pari tumatimis: Luwian and Hittite Studies presented to J. David Hawkins on the occasion of his 70th birthday*, Itamar Singer (ed.). Tel-Aviv: Institute of Archaeology, pp. 147–157.
- Morpurgo-Davies, Anna. 1982/1983. "Dentals, Rhotacism and Verbal Endings in the Luwian Languages," *Zeitschrift für vergleichende Sprachforschung* 96: 245–270.
- Starke, Frank. 1997–1999. *Einleitung in die luwische Grammatik*. Unpublished manuscript used in summer school education.
- Sylak-Glassmann, John. 2014. "An Emergent Approach to the Guttural Natural Class," Proceedings of the 2013 Annual Meeting on Phonology. Washington: Linguistic Society of America. The paper was downloaded on 9 December 2022 from https://journals.linguisticsociety.org/proceedings/index.php/amphonology/article/view/44